

Report of the statutory auditor

Report of the statutory auditor
to the General Meeting of
Swiss Re Corporate Solutions Ltd
Zurich, Switzerland

Report of the statutory auditor on the financial statements

As statutory auditor, we have audited the financial statements of Swiss Re Corporate Solutions Ltd (the 'Company'), which comprise the income statement, balance sheet and notes (pages 60 to 71) for the year ended 31 December 2017.

Board of Directors' Responsibility

The Board of Directors is responsible for the preparation of the financial statements in accordance with the requirements of Swiss law and the Company's Articles of Association. This responsibility includes designing, implementing and maintaining an internal control system relevant to the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error. The Board of Directors is further responsible for selecting and applying appropriate accounting policies and making accounting estimates that are reasonable in the circumstances.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with Swiss law and Swiss Auditing Standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgement, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers the internal control system relevant to the Company's preparation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control system. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates made, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements for the year ended 31 December 2017 comply with Swiss law and the Company's Articles of Association.

Report on a key audit matter based on the circular 1/2015 of the Federal Audit Oversight Authority

Key audit matters are those matters that, in our professional judgement, were of most significance in our audit of the financial statements of the current period. These matters were addressed in the context of our audit of the financial statements as a whole and in forming our opinion thereon, and we do not provide a separate opinion on these matters.

Unobservable or interpolated inputs used for the valuation of certain investments

Key audit matter

Investments are generally valued at lower of cost or market value (prudence principle). In addition to the lower of cost or market value, amortised cost must also be considered for fixed income securities, which is in accordance with the Insurance Supervision Ordinance.

Accordingly market values have to be observed to assess the appropriate application of the prudence principle.

Investment valuation continues to be an area with inherent risk for investments with no observable market price. The risk is not the same for all investment types and is greatest for derivatives, where the investments are more difficult to value because quoted prices are not always available.

How our audit addressed the key audit matter

We assessed and tested the design and operating effectiveness of selected key controls around the valuation models for certain investments, including the Company's independent price verification process. We also tested management's data integrity and change management controls relating to the valuation models.

In relation to the matters set out opposite, our substantive testing procedures included the following:

- Challenging the Company's methodology and assumptions, in particular, the yield curves, discounted cash flows, perpetual growth rates and liquidity premiums used in the valuation models.
- Comparing the assumptions used against appropriate benchmarks and investigating significant differences.
- Engaging our own valuation experts to perform independent valuations of selected investments.

On the basis of the work performed, we consider the assumptions used by management to be appropriate and that investments are properly valued as of 31 December 2017.

Valuation of actuarially determined Property & Casualty ('P&C') loss reserves

Key audit matter

Valuation of actuarially determined P&C loss reserves involves a high degree of subjectivity and complexity. Reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses represent estimates of future payments of reported and unreported claims for losses and related expenses at a given date. The Company uses a range of actuarial methodologies and methods to estimate these reserves. Actuarially determined P&C loss reserves require significant judgement relating to certain factors and assumptions. Among the most significant reserving assumptions are the A-priori loss ratios, which typically drive the estimates of P&C loss reserves for the most recent contract years. Other key factors and assumptions include, but are not limited to, interest rates, inflation trends, claims trends, regulatory decisions, historical claims information and the growth of exposure.

In particular, loss reserves for 'long tail' lines of business (for example, the Liability, Motor Liability and Workers' Compensation portfolios) are generally more difficult to project. This is due to the protracted period over which claims can be reported as well as the fact that claim settlements are often less frequent but of higher magnitude. They are also subject to greater uncertainties than claims relating to 'short-tail' business. Long-tailed lines of business generally rely on many assumptions based on experts' judgement.

Moreover, not all natural catastrophe events and significant man-made losses can be modelled using traditional actuarial methodologies, which increases the degree of judgement needed in establishing reserves for these events.

How our audit addressed the key audit matter

We assessed and tested the design and operating effectiveness of selected key controls relating to the application of the actuarial methodology, data collection and analysis, as well as the processes for determining the assumptions used by management in the valuation of actuarially determined P&C loss reserves.

In relation to the matters set out opposite, our substantive testing procedures included the following:

- Testing the completeness and accuracy of underlying data utilised by the Company's actuaries in estimating P&C loss reserves.
- Applying IT audit techniques to analyse claims through the recalculation of claims triangles.
- Involving PwC's internal actuarial specialists to independently test management's estimates of P&C loss reserves, and evaluate the reasonableness of the methodology and assumptions used by comparing them with recognised actuarial practices and by applying our industry knowledge and experience.
- Performing independent projections of selected product lines. For these product lines, we compared our calculations of projected reserves with those of the Company taking into account the available corroborating and contrary evidence and challenging management's assumptions as appropriate.
- Assessing the process and related judgements of management in relation to natural catastrophes and other large losses, including using our industry knowledge to assess the reasonableness of market loss estimates and other significant assumptions.
- Performing sensitivity testing to determine the impact of selected key assumptions.
- Evaluating the appropriateness of any significant adjustments made by management to P&C loss reserve estimates.

On the basis of the work performed, we consider that the methodology, methods, assumptions and underlying data used in the valuation of actuarially determined P&C loss reserves are reasonable and in line with financial reporting requirements and accepted industry practice.

Impairment assessment of investments in subsidiaries and affiliated companies

Key audit matter

The Company applies group valuation method when a close business link exists and a similarity in nature is given.

In performing impairment assessments of investments in subsidiaries and affiliated companies, management uses considerable judgement in determining different valuation-method inputs.

The impairment assessment is considered a key audit matter due to the considerable judgement in the valuation model and inputs applied.

How our audit addressed the key audit matter

In relation to the matter set out opposite, our substantive testing procedures included the following:

- Assessing whether the group valuation method is still appropriate.
- Assessing whether the method applied for each subsidiary is reasonable.
- Understanding changes in the approach and discussing these with management to ensure they are in accordance with our own expectation based on our knowledge of the business and industry.
- Engaged our internal valuation specialists to assist in the testing of key assumptions and inputs.

On the basis of the work performed, we consider the methods and assumption used by management to be reasonable. We agree with their conclusion that the book values for all investments in subsidiaries are recoverable.

Report on other legal requirements

We confirm that we meet the legal requirements on licensing according to the Auditor Oversight Act (AOA) and independence (article 728 CO and article 11 AOA) and that there are no circumstances incompatible with our independence.

In accordance with article 728a paragraph 1 item 3 CO and Swiss Auditing Standard 890, we confirm that an internal control system exists which has been designed for the preparation of financial statements according to the instructions of the Board of Directors.

We further confirm that the proposal for allocation of disposable profit complies with Swiss law and the Company's Articles of Association. We recommend that the financial statements submitted to you be approved.

PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd



Alex Finn
Audit expert
Auditor in charge



Bret Griffin

Zurich, 14 March 2018